

# THE PLANNING GROUP

A Wealth Management Firm

## Weekly Commentary June 28, 2010

### The Markets

It was not a good week for the economic data and the markets were down as a result:

- The U.S. Commerce Department lowered its growth measurement.
- New-home sales fell almost 33% in May, the biggest drop since 1963. Existing home sales fell -2.2% in May.
- Although initial jobless claims fell a bit to 457,000 last week, it is still too high to make a dent in unemployment. An economist at Bank of America Merrill Lynch commented, "Getting back to full employment is going to be slow, it's going to be a slog, it's going to be long and gradual."

So far, the markets have pretty much ignored the gains in corporate earnings in 2010. Some see this as a buying opportunity; others see the beginning of an extended bear market. The Fed's Open Market Committee (FOMC) decided to keep short-term interest rates at the same 0% to 0.25% level. Treasury yields fell a bit at the long end of the yield curve. Mortgage rates fell slightly.

A few months ago, the folks at Dismal.com suggested that housing wouldn't reach its previous highs until 2020.

### The Economy<sup>7</sup>

Can world governments "cut" their way to prosperity?

It's no secret that many countries are incurring large--and unsustainable--budget deficits. What's interesting is the approach each country is taking to try to lower their deficits to a manageable level. Britain, Japan, Germany, and Greece, for example, are focused on cutting government spending. Conversely, the U.S., while concerned about government spending, seems more focused on keeping the stimulus spending alive and raising taxes until (hopefully) the economy can catch fire and grow on its own.

Who's right?

According to Harvard University professor Alberto Alesina, "There have been mountains of evidence in which cutting government spending has been associated with increases in growth, but people still don't quite get it." In addition, a study by Ben Broadbent and Kevin Daly of Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., "discovered that reducing expenditures by 1% a year boosted average annual growth by 0.6%. However raising the ratio of taxes to GDP by the same margin cut growth by an average 0.9%." And, from a stock market perspective, the same report said, "The equity markets of the countries that sliced spending beat those of other advanced nations by 64% during a three-year period."

Like many things related to finance and economics, we won't know "who's right" until time passes and the market delivers its verdict. Between now and then, expect the vigorous debate on spending cuts versus stimulus spending to continue among academics, investors, and world leaders.

Data as of 6/25/10	1-Week	Y-T-D	1-Year	3-Year	5-Year	10-Year
Standard & Poor's 500 (Domestic Stocks)	-3.6%	-3.4%	17.0%	-10.4%	-2.0%	-3.0%
DJ Global ex US (Foreign Stocks)	-2.0%	-8.6%	13.9%	-11.7%	1.9%	0.4%
10-year Treasury Note (Yield Only)	3.1%	N/A	3.6%	5.1%	3.9%	6.1%
Gold (per ounce)	-0.2%	13.6%	33.8%	24.4%	23.3%	16.0%
DJ-UBS Commodity Index	0.2%	-7.5%	3.1%	-8.9%	-4.2%	2.1%
DJ Equity All REIT TR Index	-3.0%	11.7%	65.9%	-6.7%	1.7%	10.6%

Notes: S&P 500, DJ Global ex US, Gold, DJ-UBS Commodity Index returns exclude reinvested dividends (gold does not pay a dividend) and the three-, five-, and 10-year returns are annualized; the DJ Equity All REIT TR Index does include reinvested dividends and the three-, five-, and 10-year returns are annualized; and the 10-year Treasury Note is simply the yield at the close of the day on each of the historical time periods.

Sources: Yahoo! Finance, Barron's, djindexes.com, London Bullion Market Association.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Indices are unmanaged and cannot be invested into directly. N/A means not applicable or not available.

### ARE THE FINANCIAL MARKETS "NORMALLY DISTRIBUTED" and should you even care?

Consider this. The average height of an American male is 69.4 inches, according to the National Center for Health Statistics. If we randomly chose 1,000 American males and calculated their average height, we would likely come up with a number close to 69.4 inches. Now, in an un-random fashion, let's assume we found an 8-foot tall man--who is clearly an extreme outlier--and we have him join the previous group of 1,000. By recalculating the data, we now find the average height of this group of 1,001 men jumps by a very *underwhelming* 0.03 inches. In other words, adding an extremely tall outlier to this group of average height men had very little effect on the overall average height of the group. Without getting too technical and assuming "tall outliers" are just as likely to be found as "short outliers," we can say the height of men follows a "bell curve" or a normal distribution.

By contrast, let's consider the average net worth of American households. According to the Federal Reserve, February 2009, the average American family had a net worth of \$556,300 in 2007. Like above, if we randomly chose 1,000 families, this group would probably have an average net worth near \$556,300. However, for fun, let's add Warren Buffett--and his \$40 billion net worth--to the group. Recalculating the data, we find the average net worth of this group of 1,001 Americans jumps to \$40.5 million! Clearly, adding an extreme outlier to this sample dramatically changed the average of the sample.

As it relates to the financial markets, do you think their distribution of returns looks more like the average height of American men (where an extreme outlier doesn't really affect the average) or the average net worth of American households (where an extreme outlier could have an extreme impact)? If you think the returns in financial markets look like the average height of American men, *but it turns out they behave more like the average net worth of American households*, you could lose a lot of money. In fact, much of modern portfolio theory is based on the assumption that financial markets follow a normal distribution, i.e. they look like the average height of American men. Unfortunately, experience suggests otherwise.

Warren Buffett-type outliers such as the October 1987 stock market crash, the 2000-2002 bursting of the internet bubble, the 2007-2009 bear market, the 2008 credit crisis, and last month's "flash crash," suggest that the financial markets are subject to large outliers that can significantly affect your financial well-being. Knowing that, we do our best to try to limit the damage to your portfolio if one of these outliers occurs during your investing lifetime.

## Weekly Focus – Think About It

"In the business world, the rearview mirror is always clearer than the windshield."

--Warren Buffett

Best regards,

*The Planning Group Investment Team*

\* The content of this newsletter has been prepared solely for informational purposes and is not an offer to buy or sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy or sell any security or to participate in any particular investment strategy. We derive information from a variety of sources we consider reliable, but we do not represent that the information is accurate or complete. The opinions and statements herein are subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and actual results could be materially different, thus there are no guarantees and are subject to change at any time. Financial planning and investment advisory services are offered through The Planning Group of Scottsdale, LLC, and Ives Asset Management, Inc., both firms are SEC Registered Investment Advisor and . Certain securities may be offered through Meridian United Capital, LLC, a registered broker/dealer and FINRA member firm.

\* Consult your financial professional before making any investment decision. You cannot invest directly in an index. Past performance does not guarantee future results. Opinions expressed are subject to change without notice and are not intended as investment advice or to predict future performance.

\* The Standard & Poor's 500 (S&P 500) is an unmanaged group of securities considered to be representative of the stock market in general. The DJ Global ex US is an unmanaged group of non-U.S. securities designed to reflect the performance of the global equity securities that have readily available prices. The 10-year Treasury Note represents debt owed by the United States Treasury to the public. Since the U.S. Government is seen as a risk-free borrower, investors use the 10-year Treasury Note as a benchmark for the long-term bond market. Gold represents the London afternoon gold price fix as reported by the London Bullion Market Association. The DJ Commodity Index is designed to be a highly liquid and diversified benchmark for the commodity futures market. The Index is composed of futures contracts on 19 physical commodities and was launched on July 14, 1998. The DJ Equity All REIT TR Index measures the total return performance of the equity subcategory of the Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) industry as calculated by Dow Jones <sup>7</sup>The source for the information for On The Economy was derived from Bob LeClair's *Finance & Markets Newsletter* 6/26/10.

\* Yahoo! Finance is the source for any reference to the performance of an index between two specific periods.

\*To unsubscribe from the Weekly Commentary please reply to this e-mail with "Unsubscribe" in the subject line, or write us at:

Scottsdale Office - 8800 N. Gainey Center Drive - Suite 176 - Scottsdale - Arizona - 85258-2122

Sun City West Office - 13912 W. Stardust Blvd. - Suite 119 - Sun City West - Arizona - 85375

Telephone (480) 596-1580 - (888) 596-1580 - Fax (480) 596-2165 - e-mail - kris@theplanninggroup.com

---